Optimal broadening of finite energy spectra in the numerical renormalization group: Application to dissipative dynamics in two-level systems

Axel Freyn and Serge Florens

Institut Néel, CNRS and Université Joseph Fourier, 25 avenue des Martyrs, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble, France Received 14 January 2009; revised manuscript received 24 February 2009; published 19 March 2009-

Numerical renormalization-group (NRG) calculations of quantum impurity models, based on a logarithmic discretization in energy of electronic or bosonic Hamiltonians, provide a powerful tool to describe physics involving widely separated energy scales, as typically encountered in nanostructures and strongly correlated materials. This main advantage of the NRG was however considered a drawback for resolving sharp spectral features at finite energy, such as dissipative atomic peaks. Surprisingly, we find a bunching of many-body levels in NRG spectra near dissipative resonances, and exploit this by combining the widely used Oliveira's *z* trick, using an averaging over *few* discrete NRG spectra, with an optimized *frequency-dependent* broadening parameter $b(\omega)$. This strategy offers a tremendous gain in computational power and extracts all the needed information from the raw NRG data without *a priori* knowledge of the various energy scales at play. As an application we investigate with high precision the crossover from coherent to incoherent dynamics in the spin boson model.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.121102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.121102)

PACS number(s): 05.30.Jp, 05.10.Cc, 31.15.aq

A general hallmark of many-particle interaction, as found in a variety of condensed-matter systems such as nanostructures and strongly correlated materials, lies in the presence of several energy scales, possibly widely separated from each other due to renormalization and dynamical effects. Two well-studied examples found in electronic systems concern the Kondo effect for magnetic impurities in metals and Fermi liquids in proximity to a Mott insulating phase, two instances where low-energy quasiparticles emerge below a typical temperature which is quite reduced from the bare Fermi energy.¹ These low-lying excitations do however coexist with higher energy atomic levels, also broadened and displaced in a strong manner from their bare atomistic values due to the dissipation brought by the electronic environment. Such complex physical effects, taking place on a broad range of energies, entail great practical difficulties for most direct numerical approaches. These are partially lifted using Wilson's original idea of the logarithmic discretization, $2,3$ $2,3$ as implemented in numerical renormalization-group (NRG) calcula-tions (see Ref. [4](#page-3-3) for a recent review). This technique has been improved in the last twenty years to calculate static and dynamic quantities both for fermionic δ and bosonic quantum impurity models.⁶ Important practical applications until now involve the calculation of transport in the Kondo regime for Kondo alloys and artificial quantum dots, 7 as well as the accurate description of the zero-temperature Mott transition by combining NRG (Ref. [8](#page-3-7)) with dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT).^{[9](#page-3-8)} More generally, exponentially small energy scales are also found in the vicinity of quantum critical points¹⁰ so that impurity models provide a simplified test bed for the theory of quantum critical phenomena.¹¹ Again the NRG is the ideal technique for studying such impurity quantum phase transitions, 4 with potential implications for artifi-cial nanostructures.^{12,[13](#page-3-12)}

Despite these successes, the foundation of NRG on a logarithmic discretization mesh implies a loss in accuracy for the high-energy spectral features, which has plagued most calculations so far. Not only are atomiclike excitations physically observable, using photoemission or tunneling spectroscopies, but they may also be intimately tied to the lowenergy excitations. Such interesting behavior occurs in the vicinity of the Mott metal-insulator transition, 9 where the self-consistently determined electronic environment in the DMFT picture shows electronic states violently redistributed over all energy scales. The numerical cost of converging the DMFT equations certainly requires efficient and accurate NRG calculations for the spectral functions, without *a priori* knowledge of the excitations involved. For this reason, the idea of averaging over N_z realization of the Wilson chain, using the so-called ζ trick¹⁴ to fill in the missing spectral information (to be discussed later on), seems prohibitive for most practical calculations, and offers a limited gain for very narrow spectral structures (see Ref. [15](#page-3-14) for a detailed study).

In this Rapid Communication, we show that the broadening procedure used to smoothen the discrete NRG data is one critical step for obtaining an optimal resolution at all energies. We henceforth develop a simple adaptive procedure where the standard broadening parameter *b* is taken to be frequency dependent. This choice is dictated by our surprising observation that the density of many-body NRG levels increases sharply as soon as narrow atomic resonances are encountered. Together with the usual *z* trick, combining several but reasonably *few* NRG calculations, this extra $b(\omega)$ trick allows computation of finite frequency spectra using as little as $N_z = 10$ NRG calculations, in situations where large scale NRG *z* averaging would be prohibitive. An improved broadening procedure leading to errors in spectral functions limited to few percents could constitute a further step in applying the NRG to a wider class of problems, such as DMFT+NRG calculations.

In order to explicitly demonstrate these ideas, we focus on the simplest quantum impurity model, namely, the spin boson Hamiltonian:

$$
H = \frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma^x + \frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma^z \sum_i (a_i^{\dagger} + a_i) + \sum_i \omega_i a_i^{\dagger} a_i, \tag{1}
$$

which involves a two-level system, described by a quantum spin 1/2, and a bosonic bath a_i^{\dagger} with continuous spectrum ω_i of energies. Δ is a transverse magnetic field while the coupling constant λ controls the strength of longitudinal dissipation. The bosonic spectrum is assumed to be sub-Ohmic with bath exponent $0 < s \le 1$ (this includes the well-studied Ohmic case $s = 1$:

$$
J(\omega) \equiv \sum_{i} \pi \lambda^{2} \delta(\omega - \omega_{i}) = 2 \pi \alpha \omega_{c}^{1-s} \omega^{s} \theta(\omega) \theta(\omega_{c} - \omega),
$$
\n(2)

where ω_c is a high-energy cutoff. For small values of the dissipation α , this model is known to exhibit coherent precession of the spin around the *x* axis at zero temperature. By increasing the coupling to the bath for values of $s \leq 1$, Rabi oscillations are progressively damped¹⁶ before the two-level system localizes in one potential minima via a quantum phase transition.⁶ When $s \ge 0$ however, the phenomena of localization and decoherence occur in reverse order.¹⁷ Despite its simplicity, this model embodies all the effects typical of strong correlations: low-energy scales are indeed dynamically generated near the localization transition while discrete spin excitations due to the transverse field Δ are deeply affected by the bosonic environment, which leads to broadening and frequency shift in the magnetic response

$$
C(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt C(t) e^{i\omega t},
$$
 (3)

with $C(t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\sigma_z(t), \sigma_z] \rangle$ as the spin autocorrelation function.

The implementation of the NRG procedure follows the standard route² as discussed in Ref. 6 for the extension to bosonic Hamiltonians. Bosonic band ([2](#page-1-0)) is logarithmically discretized with the Wilson parameter $\Lambda > 1$ first on the highest energy interval $[\Lambda^{-z}\omega_c, \omega_c]$, and then iteratively on successive decreasing energy windows $[\Lambda^{-n-z}\omega_c, \Lambda^{-n-z+1}\omega_c]$ for *n* strictly positive integer. This also introduces the crucial z parameter $(0 < z < 1)$ that is used to average over N_z Wilson chains, 14 allowing to obtain better resolution on the finite energy states. The rest of the NRG follows Ref. [6,](#page-3-5) coupling iteratively the kept energy levels up to iteration *n* to the states living in the shell $n+1$, and truncating the successive Hamiltonians to keep up with a manageable number of eigenstates. All subsequent calculations were performed with Λ =2, N_b =8 kept bosonic states on the added bosonic "site," and *N*= 160 kept NRG states, ensuring good convergence. The resulting discrete spectra at successive NRG iterations are combined using the interpolation scheme proposed in Ref. [18](#page-3-17) (see however Ref. [19](#page-3-18) for a more rigorous implementation), leading to a set of *z*-dependent many-body energy levels ϵ_{a} *z* labeled by quantum number *a*. The spin-spin correlation function is thus readily obtained at zero temperature as

AXEL FREYN AND SERGE FLORENS **PHYSICAL REVIEW B** 79, 121102(R) (2009)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Spin susceptibility $C(\omega)$ of the sub-Ohmic spin boson model at $s = 0.1$, $\Delta = 0.1 \omega_c$, and $\alpha = 0.000$ 125. Raw data $\langle 0, z | \sigma_z | a, z \rangle^2 / [2N_z(\epsilon_{a,z} - \epsilon_{0,z})]$ are given as circles for N_z = 20 combined NRG calculations, solid line is perturbative result ([6](#page-2-0)), and the three dashed lines are the various NRG broadenings discussed in the text.

$$
C(\omega) = \frac{1}{2N_z} \sum_{a,z} |\langle 0, z | \sigma_z | a, z \rangle|^2 \delta(|\omega| + \epsilon_{0,z} - \epsilon_{a,z}), \qquad (4)
$$

where $\epsilon_{0,z}$ is the ground-state energy, and these raw NRG data are displayed in Fig. [1,](#page-1-1) to be discussed below.

Let us now discuss the general structure of the NRG spectra. For a single NRG calculation with a given value of *z*, the *a priori* energy resolution at the scale $\omega_N = \Lambda^{-N-z}$ is $\delta \omega_N$ $=\Lambda^{-N-z}-\Lambda^{-N-z-1}=(1-\Lambda^{-1})\omega_N$, so that the resolution degrades at increasing energy. For obtaining smooth NRG spectra, the delta peaks in Eq. (4) (4) (4) are usually broadened⁴ at energy ω_N *on the same scale*:

$$
\delta(|\omega| - \omega_N) \to \frac{e^{-b^2/4}}{\omega_N b \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-[\log(|\omega|/\omega_N)^2/b]^2}, \qquad (5)
$$

with $b \approx 0.7$ typically. Combining N_z NRG runs using the *z* averaging in Eq. (1) (1) (1) allows in principle improving of, by a factor N_z , the accuracy at high energy since the broadening parameter may now be decreased down to $b = 0.7/N_z$. This procedure actually faces two problems: (i) for very sharp resonances (typically several orders of magnitude narrower than the natural high-energy cutoff), parallelizing $N_z \ge 10$ NRG calculations becomes too prohibitive, especially with the aim of DMFT simulations; (ii) states far from the resonances can then be too much underbroadened so that oscillations of period Λ/N_z can become quite prominent. In this view, quantitative spectra can be accurately extracted from the NRG data only in the continuum limit, either with Λ \rightarrow 1 or $N_z \rightarrow +\infty$, as assumed in the previous literature.^{15,[20](#page-3-19)[,21](#page-3-20)}

We wish to show however that NRG provides much more information close to dissipative resonances than usually expected. For this purpose, we closely examine the raw NRG spectra for resonance widths as small as $10^{-4}\omega_c$, using reasonably *few* interleaved averaging $N_z = 20$, much smaller than the naively needed 10^4 10^4 NRG runs. Figure 1 shows that, for frequencies far from the resonance located at Δ , the highest weight NRG states come in packets of N_z peaks for a given

OPTIMAL BROADENING OF FINITE ENERGY SPECTRA...

Wilson shell. Surprisingly, the density of NRG eigenstates demonstrates a huge increase precisely at the peak value so that information about the resonance width and height seems really encoded in the discrete results. The standard broadening $b = 0.7/N_z = 0.035$ is however way too large to benefit from this effect, and the corresponding smoothed spectra are indeed completely inaccurate. For definite comparison, we have plotted the analytical result obtained from the expansion at weak dissipation:²²

$$
C(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \frac{\omega - \Sigma(\omega)}{\omega[\omega - \Sigma(\omega)] - \Delta^2},
$$

$$
\Sigma(\omega) = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{\pi} \frac{J(\epsilon)}{\omega - \epsilon + i0^+} = \int_0^{\omega_c} d\epsilon \frac{2\alpha \omega_c^{1-s} \epsilon^s}{\omega - \epsilon + i0^+}.
$$
 (6)

In order to judiciously exploit this unexpected finding, the straightforward idea we follow here is to adapt the broadening parameter b in Eq. (5) (5) (5) to the frequency dependence of the local density of NRG peaks. A very natural approach adapted to the NRG logarithmic discretization is to extract $b(\omega)$ from the logarithmic derivative of the integrated spectrum up to frequency ω :

$$
b(\omega) = \frac{b_0}{2} \left(\left[q + \frac{d \log \int_0^{\omega} C}{d \log \omega} \right]_0^{\omega} + \left[q + \frac{d \log \int_{+\infty}^{\omega} C}{d \log \omega} \right]_0^{\omega} \right),\tag{7}
$$

where $q \approx 1$ is regularization parameter, whose precise value is not sensitive to the final results, and b_0 sets the typical broadening at very low and very high frequencies (far from the atomic resonances). Note indeed that we average in this expression two frequency sweeps from $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = +\infty$, respectively, in order to treat, on an equal basis, low- and high-frequency tails. Because the actual NRG data is fully discrete [Eq. ([4](#page-1-2))], we extract $b(\omega)$ recursively using Eq. ([7](#page-2-1)) on the broadened NRG spectra. This procedure converges after few iterations to the results displayed in Figs. [1](#page-1-1) and [2.](#page-2-2) Given the small numerical effort devoted to generate these NRG data, the quality of final result is quite astonishing. The reason for this success is given in Fig. [2,](#page-2-2) where the $b(\omega)$ parameter takes values as small as 10−4 at the resonance while it increases drastically far away from it, naturally canceling out a great part of the NRG oscillations due to the discretization of the Wilson chain. We emphasize that the only free parameter is the typical low-frequency broadening b_0 in Eq. ([7](#page-2-1)), which is easily adjusted: we always find a range of b_0 values where the self-consistently broadened spectrum is relatively independent of the chosen b_0 . More generally, one can also avoid the use of ansatz (7) (7) (7) by determining $b(\omega)$ from estimates of the local density of highest weight states in the raw NRG data, giving similar results. Large scale NRG simulations with $N_z \ge 10$ and fixed *b*, as performed, e.g., in Ref. [15,](#page-3-14) may thus benefit greatly from an adaptive broadening procedure. We also note that the highfrequency tails are also faithfully reproduced using the $b(\omega)$ trick. Finally, in situations where relatively broader resonances are present, we show that quantitative results can be

(2009)

FIG. 2. (Color online) Comparison of various broadening procedures near the resonance for the parameters of Fig. [1:](#page-1-1) standard interleaved averaging with $N_z = 20$ and $b = 0.7/N_z = 0.035$ (dashdotted line), $b(\omega)$ trick with $b_0 = 0.12$ (dashed line), and analytical result (full line). The adaptive broadening parameter $b(\omega)$ is also given (note the logarithmic scale on the right).

obtained at lower computational cost by decreasing significantly the number of *z* averaging even as low as $N_z < 5$, see Fig. [3.](#page-2-3)

In order to check that our broadening procedure is completely robust for the whole range of parameters in model ([1](#page-1-3)), we investigate the effect of increasing dissipation for several bath exponent values *s*. The quantitative comparison of the Rabi resonance to the analytical formula (6) (6) (6) in its domain of validity, namely, $\alpha \ll 1$, gives us indeed confidence in the adaptative method. This is proved in Figs. [4](#page-3-22) and [5,](#page-3-23) which consider the same parameters as in Ref. [17,](#page-3-16) with important quantitative improvement. For the small value of *s*= 0.1 taken in Fig. [4,](#page-3-22) the perfect matching of the NRG data on the resonance to the lowest-order calculation in α shows that the dissipation mechanism does not care for the lowenergy behavior of the spin dynamics, even at the quantum critical point^{6,[17](#page-3-16)} where the spin localizes for the values α_c $= 0.0071$ and 0.105 with $s = 0.1$ and 0.5, respectively (Δ/ω_c) $= 0.1$ and $\Lambda = 2$ here). Interestingly, the low-energy tails show significant deviations from analytical result (6) (6) (6) even far from the quantum phase transition so that lowest-order calculations²² do not apply for the long-time dynamics. Im-

FIG. 3. (Color online) Similar comparison as done in Fig. [2,](#page-2-2) with stronger dissipation $\alpha = 0.02$. Optimized broadenings with $b(\omega)$ trick were done with $N_z = 2, 20$ and $b_0 = 0.6, 0.12$, respectively.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparison of optimized NRG spectra (solid lines) and perturbative calculations (dashed lines) with bath exponent $s = 0.1$, $\Delta = 0.1 \omega_c$, and increasing values (arrow) of dissipation $\alpha = 0.002, 0.004, 0.007$.

proved resummation of the perturbation theory to all orders in α will be considered in a forthcoming work.²³ For the intermediate value $s = 0.5$ shown in Fig. $\overline{5}$, clear nonperturbative effects on the resonance are seen in between the weakcoupling regime and the quantum critical point so that perturbation theory in α breaks down.

To conclude, we have investigated the properties of discrete NRG spectra near atomic states in the spin boson model and found that a bunching of the many-body levels

AXEL FREYN AND SERGE FLORENS **PHYSICAL REVIEW B** 79, 121102(R) (2009)

FIG. 5. (Color online) Similar plot as in Fig. [4,](#page-3-22) with bath exponent $s = 0.5$, $\Delta = 0.1 \omega_c$, and $\alpha = 0.02$, 0.06, 0.10.

occurs whenever sharp resonances are encountered. An adaptive broadening scheme was proposed, showing a drastic improvement in computation power for the calculation of accurate spectral functions over the whole energy range. This procedure will certainly allow taking further advantage of the potentialities of the NRG in a wide range of physical situations, from *ab initio* calculations for magnetic impurities in metals 24 to the difficult problem of simulating strongly correlated materials in the framework of the dynamical mean-field theory.⁹

- ¹ A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- ²K. G. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 773 (1975).
- ³H. R. Krishna-murthy, J. W. Wilkins, and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. B 21, 1003 (1980).
- 4R. Bulla, T. Costi, and T. Pruschke, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 395 $(2008).$
- 5T. A. Costi, A. C. Hewson, and V. Zlatic, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **6**, 2519 (1994).
- 6R. Bulla, H. J. Lee, N. H. Tong, and M. Vojta, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 045122 (2005).
- ⁷ T. A. Costi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1504 (2000).
- ⁸ R. Bulla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 136 (1999).
- ⁹A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. Rozenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
- 10S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- ¹¹ M. Vojta, Philos. Mag. **86**, 1807 (2006).
- $12R$. M. Potok, I. G. Rau, H. Shtrikman, Y. Oreg, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Nature (London) 446, 167 (2007).
- 13N. Roch, S. Florens, V. Bouchiat, W. Wernsdorfer, and F. Balestro, Nature (London) 453, 633 (2008).
- 14W. C. Oliveira and L. N. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 11986 $(1994).$
- ¹⁵ R. Žitko and T. Pruschke, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 085106 (2009).
- 16A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 1 (1987).
- 17F. B. Anders, R. Bulla, and M. Vojta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 210402 (2007).
- 18R. Bulla, T. A. Costi, and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 045103 $(2001).$
- 19A. Weichselbaum and J. von Delft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 076402 (2007); R. Peters, T. Pruschke, and F. B. Anders, Phys. Rev. B 74, 245114 (2006).
- 20V. L. Campo and L. N. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 104432 $(2005).$
- 21A. Weichselbaum, F. Verstraete, U. Schollwöck, J. I. Cirac, and J. von Delft, arXiv:cond-mat/0504305 (unpublished).
- 22A. Shnirman and Y. Makhlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 207204 $(2003).$
- ²³ S. Florens, A. Freyn, R. Narayanan, and D. Venturelli (unpublished).
- ²⁴ T. A. Costi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 056802 (2009).